FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JUL 31 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

GEUNTAI KIM, AKA Geun Kim; GUNGSOOK HWANG,

Petitioners,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 06-72720

Agency Nos. A072-970-154 A079-378-313

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 29, 2009**

Before: WALLACE, LEAVY, and HAWKINS, Circuit Judges.

Geuntai Kim and his wife, Gungsook Hwang, natives and citizens of South Korea, petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing

KAD/Research 06-72720

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

their appeal from an immigration judge's order of removal. We have jurisdiction pursuant to 8 U.S.C. § 1252, and we deny the petition.

We reject petitioners' contention that the government failed to establish removability by clear and convincing evidence, because petitioners conceded removability. *See Shin v. Mukasey*, 547 F.3d 1019, 1024 (9th Cir. 2008).

We also reject petitioners' contention that the government should be equitably estopped from ordering their removal. Although a government employee, Leland Sustaire, issued Kim's fraudulent alien registration card (Hwang was a derivative beneficiary), the record shows Kim was not "ignorant of the true facts" when he procured the cards, *id.* at 1025, and, "[i]n any event, estoppel against the government is unavailable where petitioners have not lost any rights to which they were entitled." *Sulit v. Schiltgen*, 213 F.3d 449, 454 (9th Cir. 2000).

Finally, we find no defects amounting to a due process violation. *See Shin* at 1024-25; *Hong v. Mukasey*, 518 F.3d 1030, 1035-36 (9th Cir. 2008).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.